



# Woodlot or 'Muyong'

# Philippines

# Woodlot is a forest management approach which aims the to provide food, wood for fule, construction and material for woodcarving and to provide a steady source of water for the lower-hilly riceland area.

<u>Aim/objectives</u>: Woodlot or 'muyong' as it is called in the local language is basically a timber management system. The harvesting of non-timber products like fruits and other benefits like the maintenance of a stable water supply are secondary objectives. Fuelwood and construction timber are the major products of woodlot. The usual size of woodlot is not more than one hectare and is individually owned. The approach is believed to have started at least a century ago. It began when it became apparent that sources of fuelwood near homes were becoming difficult to find. The approach also came about when people realized the need for accountability in the use and management of natural resources. The ancestors of the present-day owner of the woodlots were influenced by the relationship of a reliable and steady water supply and the existence of forest. Woodlots normally evolved from swidden fields. The owners encouraged the growth of prefered species either by direct seeding or by transplanting seedlings uprooted fron nearby forest.

Methods: Present practices involve the growing of introduced-fast growing species such as mahogany (Switeria macrophylla) and gmelina (Gmelina arborea). Rattan a valuable material for the furniture industry is also raised in the woodlot. Medicinal herbs are also gathered. Mushrooms and nuts are also grown. Woodlot is an approach unique to the indigenous tribes of the Cordillera. Boundaries, tenures and disputes are settled based on local traditions and beliefs. Common disputes arise from illegal gathering of fruit products. Transfer of ownership is upon the discretion of parents and only to married children. Inheritance of a woodlot denotes the handling down of authority and responsibility over the family. Woodlot or 'muyong'has been hailed as one of the nine successful 'Traditional Forest Related Knowledge' (TFRK). In terms of sophistication and social organization, woodlot is no equal among the approaches being practiced by the different ethnic groups in the country.

# Problem, objectives and constraints

# Problems

Lack of fuelwood and timber for construction and wood carving. Lack of materials for the furniture industry. Supply food and medicine. Provide steady and reliable source of water supply for domestics needs and for irrigation.

# **Aims/Objectives**

Sustainable use of forest resources by employing traditional beliefs, customs and traditions. Promote ecological balance.

left: Map showing the area where the SWC approach is located. right: The terraces are valuable resource in the Cordillera for food production and for tourism. Forest management is important in their protection and conservation. Location: Mountain Province and Ifugao, Approach area: 13.00 km<sup>2</sup> Type of Approach: traditional/indigenous Focus: mainly on conservation with other activities WOCAT database reference: A\_PHI006en Related technology(ies): Compiled by: Not registered Date: Before 1992

Constraints addressed					
		Constraint	Treatment		
	technical	Lack of knowledge on the proper care and maintenance of forest resources.	Provisions of traditional skills, customs and beliefs in the care and maintenance.		
	legal / land use and / water rights	Regalian doctrine which says that all public lands are owned by the state.	Regualr maintenance of a portion of the forest by weeding, removing of vines and undesirable species.		

# Participation and decision making

other (land users)     100%       Total     100%       Iand users, individual     Annual budget for SLM component:       US\$     US\$	Stakeholders / target groups	Approach costs met by:		
land users, individual Annual budget for SLM component:		other (land users)	100%	
	land users, individual	Annual budget for SLM component:		

Decisions on choice of the Technology(ies) by land users\* alone (self-initiative / bottom-up)

**Decisions on method of implementing the Technology(ies):** by land users\* alone (self-initiative / bottom-up)

Approach designed by: national specialists

Implementing bodies:

ind user involvement			
Phase	Involvement	Activities	
Initiation/motivation	Passive		
Planning	Passive		
Implementation	Passive		
Monitoring/evaluation	None		
Research	None		

## Differences between participation of men and women: Yes, moderate

Men usually do the work in the field and they are the ones who will settle disputes.

## Involvement of disadvantaged groups: Yes, great

Decisions on how a woodlot is manged is made by the clan members themselves. Usually the eldest among the siblings has the authority to decide on what should be done.

# **Technical support**

#### Training / awareness raising:

Training provided for land user Training was on-the-job Training focused on Informal training among family members on the management of forest for sustainable use.

# Advisory service:

Name: N/A

The extension system is quite adequate to ensure continuation of activities. Environmental protection particularly watershed management is a top priority of the government and NGO's. The 'woodlot' approach of watershed protection is regarded as a perfect example of forest management being employed by indigenous people.

## **Research:**

Yes, moderate research. Topics covered include sociology, technology, economics / marketing, ecology Mostly on-farm research. Researches on traditional forest knowledge of different ethnic groups.

# External material support / subsidies

# Contribution per area (state/private sector): .

Labour: Voluntary. only family/clan labor was involved.

#### Inputs:

- Equipment (machinery, tools, etc): hand tools. Not financed
- Agricultural (seeds, fertilizers, etc): seeds, seedlings. Not financed

Credit: Credit was not available

Support to local institutions: Yes, moderate support with by promoting aesthetics

# Monitoring and evaluation

Monitored aspects Methods and indicators

## Changes as result of monitoring and evaluation:

# Impacts of the Approach

**Improved sustainable land management:** Yes, great; The approach contributed to effective water conservation to sustain the rice terraces

**Adoption by other land users / projects:** Yes, few; The concept is being adopted in other areas with different degrees of success. It is being talked about as a classic example of resource management.

#### Training, advisory service and research:

- Training effectiveness
   Agricultural advisor / trainers: good
   Politicians / decision makers: good
   School children / students: good
   SLM specialists: good
   Planners: good
   Teachers: good
   Land users\*: excellent
   Increased awareness on the need for effective soil and water conservation and on watershed management.
- Advisory service effectiveness

Technicians / conservation specialists: good School children / students: good Politicians / decision makers: good Planners: good Teachers: good Land users\*: good Sustained knowledge on proper resources management.

<u>Research contributing to the approach's effectiveness</u>: Moderately
It proved that the approach or system is sustainable, although there is no hard quantitative data to back this up.

#### Land/water use rights:

Help - greatly in the implementation of the approach. The land use right (no land titles) is being respected and honored and the approach fits well in the over-all scheme.

The approach did not at all reduce the land/water use rights problem. The land use right is well respected in the communities. Conflicts are peacefully resolve through compromises and agreements.

#### Long-term impact of subsidies:

Negative long-term impact: None

# **Concluding statements**

## Main motivation of land users to implement SLM:

## Sustainability of activities:

Yes the land users can sustain the approach activities without support.

# Strengths and → how to sustain/improve

Respect for land users rights even though there is no legal documents to hold on for the land → Maintaining the unique traditional customs/traditions. Full implementation of Ancestral Domain Act.

Continous replanting  $\rightarrow$  Select fast growing species.

Strong resolve of the land users on the need for sustainability.  $\rightarrow$  Information sharing by way of seminars/meetings.

Strong respect for customs/traditions in the management of resources.  $\rightarrow$  Preservation of cultural values.

Strong backing from local government units (LGU's)  $\rightarrow$  Continous information education campaign (IEC)

# Weaknesses and → how to overcome

Not all members of the community is involved/benefited. → Wider involvement using other areas within the vicinity of the community.

Tendency for the woodlot to be commercialized and over-exploited. → Carrying capacity of the woodlot should be determined.

Woodlot can be a sanctuary for pests for the nearby rice fields.  $\rightarrow$  Maintenance and cleanliness.



Copyright (c) WOCAT ()